

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,528	03/30/2001	Han-Ming Wu	4290P10627	7457	
7:	590 08/12/2003				
	Michael A. Bernadicou			EXAMINER	
Seventh Floor	BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			KACKAR, RAM N	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	1763		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			12				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistance	09/823,528	WU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE - SALin	Ram N Kackar	1763	· · · · · ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the second part of the se	luon appears on the cov r sh	et with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, no cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6), by statute, cause the application to become.	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>02 July 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims			is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 30</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application						
	- · ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
_ '							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are requi	red in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S	i.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	lage provisional application h	as been received.	· .				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6					

Application/Control Number: 09/823,528

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-8 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa Kaoru (JP 04144130)
- 3. Ogawa Kaoru discloses a plasma chamber (Abstract and Fig 3), a circular (solid) shield plate and a support structure for the shield plate (Fig 3). Regarding claim 8 it is inherent that the dimensions of the shield plate will be determined according to size of substrate and the plasma chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 8-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenz et al (US 5534751) in view of Ogawa Kaoru (JP 04144130).

Lenz et al disclose a plasma chamber (Fig 1), a circular shield plate of dielectric to confine the plasma (to actively direct ion flux) and a support structure also of dielectric having 6 support members (Fig 2 and Col 6 lines 16-26) and the thickness of shield plate being 2.4 mm

Application/Control Number: 09/823,528

Art Unit: 1763

(Col 7 line 8). The apparatus disclosed by Lenz et al discloses that the apparatus could be used for etching or CVD.

Lenz et al do not disclose the shield to be a solid circular plate.

Ogawa Kaoru discloses a circular (solid) shield plate and a support structure for the shield plate (Fig 3-3).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a solid shield to actively direct ions outside the shield for uniformity of etching.

Regarding claims 10-11, mean free path is a process parameter dependent upon pressure.

Obviously, the dimensions of the apparatus depend upon the process parameters and are therefore optimized accordingly as a routine.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa Kaoru (JP 04144130) in view of Henderson et al (US 6008130).

Ogawa Kaoru discloses a plasma chamber (Fig 3), a circular (solid) shield plate and a support structure for the shield plate (Fig 3-3).

Ogawa Kaoru does not disclose shield plates with rounded corner edges and a support structure for the shield plates.

Henderson et al disclose a plasma chamber (Fig 1), shield plates with rounded corner edges and a support structure for the shield plates (Fig 1-32).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a rounded edge of the shield plate so as not to have unwanted deposits around corners.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,528

Art Unit: 1763

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 7/2/2003 have been fully considered but are now moot in 7.

view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular

communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK

August 6, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**

Page 4